

Downclassification of Suspicious Breast Masses Using Opto-Acoustic Imaging

Erin I. Neuschler MD, A. Thomas Stavros MD, Philip T. Lavin PhD, Michael J. Ulissey MD

Purpose

- Gray-scale ultrasound is limited in its specificity for characterization of breast masses
- Limited specificity results in false positives and negative biopsies
- Can opto-acoustic (OA) imaging increase the specificity of gray-scale ultrasound for characterization of breast masses?



Basis for Opto-Acoustic Imaging

- Cancers do not grow beyond 2-mm without developing neovascularity¹
- With angiogenesis there is increased blood flow to cancerous tissue
- Cancers are generally more metabolically active and deoxygenate hemoglobin more than benign entities or normal tissue



Opto-Acoustic Imaging

- Optical energy from a laser is absorbed and emitted acoustically^{2,3,4}
- Light excitation causes thermalelastic expansion within a mass which then emits a pressure (acoustic) wave that is detected by an array of acoustic sensors within a hand-held breast probe⁵
- Pulses of near-infared light at two wavelengths are applied sequentially to breast tissue
 - Red light (757nm) is absorbed predominantly by hypoxic (de-oxygenated) blood
 - Near-infared light (1064 nm) is absorbed predominantly by normally oxygenated blood



Investigational Device - Imagio®

- Hand-held linear probe which can perform both gray-scale ultrasound as well emits optical pulses via a class 3b laser
- Dual wavelength optical pulses generate the OA images
- Ultrasound images are acquired and temporally interleaved and co-registered with the OA images in real-time









Opto-Acoustic Imaging: Fusion Imaging

- Fusion of laser optic imaging and gray-scale imaging in real-time⁶⁻¹²
 - Optics high contrast resolution (about 20/1)
 - Ultrasound high spatial resolution and better penetration
- Fusion of anatomy and function
 - Anatomy gray-scale ultrasound anatomy as well as OA demonstration of tumor angiogenesis
 - Function OA demonstration of relative degrees of oxygenation/deoxygenation



Opto-Acoustic (OA) and Ultrasound Images Real Time Hemoglobin Map



Opto-Acoustics (OA) 6-on-1 Real Time Display 1 gray scale map and 5 OA maps are complementary to each other Invasive ductal carcinoma, grade II









PIONEER-01 Pilot Study

- A Pivotal Study of Imaging with Optoacoustics to diagnose breast masses detected by mammography and/or clinical findings: A NEw Evaluation Tool for Radiologists
- Pilot study of 100 patients was evaluated for the potential ability of OA to downgrade BI-RADS scores in benign masses
- Can BI-RADS (BR) 4a or 4b masses be downgraded to either BI-RADS 3 or 2 with OA?
- Can masses coded BI-RADS 3 be downgraded to BI-RADS 2 with OA?



PIONEER-1 Investigator Sites

- Northwestern Medicine
- Yale University School of Medicine
- New York Presbyterian Hospital
- Georgetown University Hospital
- Cleveland Clinic
- The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio

- Elizabeth Wende Breast Care
- Invision Sally Jobe
- Weinstein Imaging Associates
- Boca Raton Regional Hospital
- Radnet, Inc.
- Austin Radiological Association
- Solis Women's Health (Texas and North Carolina)
- Breast Care Specialists



Materials and Methods

- 6 of the 16 sites contributed to the pilot cases
- Women referred for diagnostic breast ultrasound due to a palpable mass or a suspicious mammographic finding
- Patients with BI-RADS 3, 4a, 4b, 4c and 5 lesions at conventional diagnostic ultrasound (CDU) were eligible for the study
- Investigators obtained gray-scale images with the Imagio[®] device, the internal ultrasound control (IUC), immediately before acquiring the OA images



Materials and Methods

- Independent readers (IRs) blinded to clinical data, site imaging and pathology
- 7 IRs were trained by expert reader to identify and score three OA internal features and two OA external features for each mass
- IRs offered the results of two nomograms (that were calculated from their OA feature scores) to help predict the Probability of Malignancy (POM)
- 2% or less POM \rightarrow downgrade to BI-RADS 3
- 0% POM \rightarrow downgrade mass to BI-RADS 2



Materials and Methods

- 102 masses from the 100 pilot study cases
- 75 biopsied masses (39 benign, 36 malignant)
- BI-RADS classification by site radiologists of conventional diagnostic ultrasound:

4 BI-RADS 3 18 BI-RADS 4a 18 BI-RADS 4b 12 BI-RADS 4c 23 BI-RADS 5



Case #1

9-mm mass in left breast at 3:00 7 cm from the nipple

• CDU: BI-RADS 4B

• IUC: BI-RADS 4B





ARAD

RAD



OA





FIBROADENOMA 9-mm mass in left breast at 3:00 7 cm from the nipple

• CDU: BI-RADS 4B

• IUC: BI-RADS 4B

• OA: BI-RADS 3







ARAD

RAD

Case #2

7-mm mass in the right breast at 10:30 8 cm from the nipple

• CDU BI-RADS: 3

• IUC BI-RADS: 3





ARAD











FIBROADENOMA

7-mm mass in the right breast at 10:30 8 cm from the nipple

• CDU BI-RADS 3

• IUC BI-RADS 3

• OA: BI-RADS 2





ARAD





Results

- Using OA the IRs had 97.6% sensitivity and 44.4% specificity
- Net absolute gain in specificity of 13%



Results

• Using OA, the IRs were able to downgrade site-CDU masses as follows:

•BR 4a masses to BR 3 or 2 in 53% of cases

•BR 4b masses to BR 3 or 2 in 33% of cases

•BR 3 masses to BR 2 in 33% of cases

• Using OA, the IRs downgraded IUC-classified masses as follows:

•BR 4a to either BR 3 or 2 in 43% of cases

•BR 4b masses to either BR 3 or 2 in 13% of cases

•BR 3 masses to BR 2 in 43% of cases



Conclusions

- Benign masses classified as BR 3, 4a and 4b could be downgraded to BR 3 or 2 by using OA with the aid of nomograms
- The use of OA could potentially decrease false positives and decrease negative biopsies
- The larger 1997 subject 16 center pivotal study will allow for confirmation



References

- 1. Folkman, J: Angiogenesis Annual Review of Medicine. 2006; 57:1-18.
- 2. Oraevsky A, Jacques S, Esenaliev T: Laser Optoacoustic Imaging System for Medical Diagnostics, USPTO Serial #05,840,023 (priority date 31 Jan 1996).
- 3. Oraevsky AA, Karabutov AA: "Optoacoustic Tomography", in Biomedical Photonics Handbook, ed. By T. Vo-Dink, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, Vol. PM125, Chapter 34, pp. 34/1-34/34.
- 4. Oraevsky AA: Optoacoustic tomography of the breast, Chapter 33 in "Photoacoustic imaging and spectroscopy", ed. By L. Wang, Taylor and Francis Group, New York, 2009.
- 5. Ermilov SA, Fronheiser, MP, Nadvoretsky V, Brecht HP, Su R, Conjusteau A, and Oraevsky AA: Real-time optoacoustic imaging of breast cancer using an interleaved two-laser imaging system coregistered with ultrasound, in "Photons Plus Ultrasound: Imaging and Sensing", San Jose, Ca, January 24, 2010 *Proc. SPIE* vol. 7564: 75641W, pp. 1-7.



References

- 6. Ermilov AF, Khamapirad T, Conjusteau A, Lacewell R, Mehta K, Miller T, Leonard MH, Oraevsky AA: Optoacoustic Imaging System for Detection of Breast Cancer, *J Biomed Opt.* 2009; 14(2); 024007 (1-14).
- 7. Kruger RA, Lam RB, Reinecke DR, Del Rio SP, Doyle RP: Photoacoustic Angiography of the Breast, Med Phys; 37 (11); 6096-6100.
- 8. Brecht HP, Su R, Fronheiser M, Ermilov SA, Conjusteau A, and Oraesvsky AA: Whole body three-dimensional optoacoustic tomography system for small animals, *J. Biomed. Optics* 2009; 14(6), 0129061-8.
- 9. Ku G. Wang XD, Xie XY, Stoica G and Wang LHV: Imaging of tumor angiogenesis in rat brains in vivo by photoacoustic tomography, *Applied Optics* 2005; 44(5), 770-775.
- 10. Wang XD, Xie XY, Ku G, Wang LHV, and Stoica G: Noninvasive imaging of hemoglobin concentration and oxygenation in the rat brain using high-resolution photoacoustic tomography, *Journal of Biomedical Optics* 2006; 11 (2), 024015.



References

- 11. Esenaliev RO, Karabutov AA, Oraevsky AA: Sensitivity of laser optoacoustic imaging in detection of small deeply embedded tumors. IEEE J. ST Quant. Electr. 1999; 5(4):981-988.
- 12. Andreev VG, Karabutov AA, Oraevsky AA: Detection of ultrawide-band ultrasound pulses in optoacoustic tomography, IEEE Trans. UFFC 2003; 50(1); 1383-1391.



Thank You eneuschl@nm.org

